

# East Leicestershire and Rutland Clinical Commissioning Group

### Help to Live at Home Integrated Programme Key Learning and Recommendations

#### **Background**

HTLAH is an integrated programme between Leicestershire County Council (LCC), East Leicestershire & Rutland Clinical Commissioning Group (ELRCCG) and West Leicestershire Clinical Commissioning Group (WLCCG). The programme is an essential component of the five year plan to transform health and care in Leicester, Leicestershire & Rutland (LLR) and is targeted to two specific groups of people:

- Those in need of support at home following a hospital stay
- Those in the community whose needs have changed meaning they need more support to stay at home

Through the commissioning of a new integrated service model for home care the programme aim was to provide a combination of benefits; to individuals in receipt of care, providers delivering the care, commissioners of the services, across both the NHS and Local Government, as well as delivering system wide benefits by supporting more effective care outside of hospital.

It is acknowledged that it has been a challenging programme with complex governance to navigate and deliver across multiple partners and systems, all in the context of very demanding deadlines.

#### <u>Purpose</u>

This report presents an overview of the key themes that have emerged and makes recommendations to be taken forward by Departments/Teams to ensure learning is embedded in future programmes. The lessons will be of interest to other integration and transformation programmes, although they will need to be adapted to the specific circumstances of each one.

#### Lessons Learned Approach

Three Lessons Learned workshops have been undertaken over the duration of the HTLAH Programme covering both specific programme stages and general themes that are common to all stages – i.e. Leadership, Governance and Communications. The Phase 1 Lessons Learned workshop was held on 10 May 2016 and covered the Design and Procurement stages; The Phase 2 workshop was held on 17 January 2017 (ten weeks after the go-live date) covering the Back Office Systems, Operational Delivery, Transitions, Mobilisation and Go Live stages. LCC Operational Teams contributions were also captured during a Learning and Recognition Event on 30 January 2017.

The actions identified in this report build on the successes and opportunities from HTLAH and are aimed at driving continuous improvement in programme delivery.



### 1) Programme Governance

Ref	Ke	ey Learning	<b>Recommendations/ Actions</b>	Senior Responsible Owner (SRO) / Team
1.	•	There was an effective programme level Steering Group, maintaining pace and providing leadership for the programme. There was less consistency in oversight across the various workstreams meaning some risks were highlighted later than they might have been.	<ol> <li>Replicate programme level structure in future integration programmes of this scale/duration</li> <li>Cascade the same disciplines down to all constituent sub groups / workstreams</li> </ol>	Corporate Transformation Unit / Project Management Office (PMO)
2.	•	The complexity of joint organisational governance arrangements associated with integration programmes slowed decision making.	<ol> <li>Explore more streamlined CCG governance to empower joint decision-making and approvals outside of existing arrangements (LCC has an established mechanism for delegated authority)</li> <li>Establish and use Joint Organisational Governance Framework (i.e. Committees in Common)</li> </ol>	LCC & CCG Exec's
3.	•	Robust governance structures were in place with agreed Terms of Reference, but these were not reviewed at every stage of the lifecycle of the Programme.	5. Tailor programme governance structure to reflect the stage of the programme life-cycle	Corporate Transformation Unit / PMO
4.	•	Some aspects of the management of the Gateway review and Change Management Processes could have	6. Adherence to all aspects of the Gateway and	Corporate

Trish McHugh – Programme Manager HTLAH Issued 08/06/17



# Help to Live at Home Integrated Programme

# Key Learning and Recommendations

Ref	Key Lea	rning	Recommendations/ Acti	ions	Senior Responsible Owner (SRO) / Team
	been	more effective.	LCC and the CCGs 3. Change management pr	teway sign-offs from both rocess to re-validate plans teria should be established v y based on risk thresholds, case reviews and	Transformation Unit / PMO
5.		ve Board Decision Making with regard to the gement of Go/No-Go Risks	<ol> <li>Review Programme Boa making processes; exter Owner (SRO) training to Board members</li> </ol>	nd Senior Responsible	Corporate Transformation Unit
6.	Frame Home (HAR	complexity and joint sign-off process of Provider ework Agreement (Contract) Section 75 and care Assessment and Reablement Team T)Deed of Variation meant that the formal sign off delayed.	<ol> <li>Legal framework for joint signed-off as part of prog</li> <li>Legal sign offs to be a ke procurement stage</li> </ol>	gramme start-up	РМО



Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	Senior Responsible Owner (SRO) / Team
7.	<ul> <li>Risk Management, Ownership and Gateways: risk management is already in place and internal audit assured (LCC) but further improvements for high risk programmes have been identified which should be considered for inclusion in corporate PMO standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>14. High Impact risks to be assigned an SRO as risk owner</li> <li>15. Risk process needs to be closely linked to contingency planning work stream; Gateway approvals still carrying high level of risk should result in escalated contingency plans</li> <li>16. Risk tolerances to be set for each Gateway</li> </ul>	Corporate Transformation Unit / PMO



2) Programme Leadership		

Ref	Key Learning	<b>Recommendations/ Actions</b>	SRO / Team
8.	<ul> <li>The HTLAH SRO held an integrated post with joint accountability to NHS and LA partners and was able to provide strong leadership</li> </ul>	17. Use this as a good practice model to be replicated in future key integration programmes. Joint leadership should be considered when an integrated post holder cannot be identified.	Corporate Transformation Unit / PMO
9.	Organisational sponsorship of an integrated programme is essential to ensure programme delivery is a priority	<ol> <li>Establish escalation route to ensure both LCC and CCG representation at all programme groups and boards</li> </ol>	SRO / LCC & CCG Exec's



3) Contingency Planning	
-------------------------	--

tef Key Learning	<b>Recommendations/ Actions</b>	SRO / Team
<ul> <li>Contingency planning was completed close to implementation. The response was effective for addressing missed calls but further improvements can be made with advance contingency planning.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>19. Establish core guidance for contingency workstream planning, based on lesson learned</li> <li>20. Establish a contingency workstream with operational SRO to lead planning and development from an early stage for high risk programmes (embed in programme methodology)</li> <li>21. Link contingency workstream to escalation of programme risks</li> <li>22. Link contingency workstream to Resilience Planning Group</li> <li>23. Establish standard protocol for reactive action planning based on lesson learned</li> <li>24. Review operational risk assurance for provider failure and business continuity plans</li> </ol>	Corporate Transformation Unit / PMO ASC Divisional Management Team (DMT) / Compliance



4) Back Office Systems
------------------------

Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	SRO / Team
11.	The approach to testing and implementation of the IT solution designed to automate the transfer of service user records worked well and was delivered to schedule.	25. Refer to/adapt HTLAH Back Office Delivery Plan to support future IT implementations	Corporate Transformation Unit / PMO



### 5) Strategic Approach

Ref	Key Learning	<b>Recommendations/ Actions</b>	SRO / Team
12.	• Tender evaluation and award was based on passing quality threshold and then weighted towards efficiency and value for money. More consideration could have been given to operational delivery.	26. Procurement related Subject Matter Expert (SME) expertise should be sought and applied at the earliest opportunity in order to manage legal risks, foster innovation, maximise any opportunity for savings, and where possible allow for pragmatism within the procurement process itself	Market Development / LCC Commissioning Support Unit (CSU) / Legal
13.	• The learning from 2011 Framework (commissioner and provider feedback) directed implementation towards a single phase implementation approach.	27. Commitment of short-term additional operational resources to mitigate a single stage go-live	Programme Board/SRO
14.	• The decision to move from the existing homecare zones to the new Lots had unintended effects in transitioning some service users.	28. Programme Boards need to ensure that they consistently use technical ICT advice.	Programme Board
15.	• Strategic analysis of the impact of the HTLAH model on market stability needed to be more wide- ranging and include an awareness of issues beyond the home care sector. HTLAH Board was not sighted on the impact that NHS and Logistics recruitment would have on providers being able to mobilise staff.	29. Strategic analysis needs to be regularly refreshed as part of gateway reviews to inform contingency planning.	Programme Board/ Corporate Transformation Unit



Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	SRO / Team
16.	• The programme highlighted a range of data quality and data availability issues across all organisations involved	30. Resource needs to be put in place to cleanse the LCC data	IAS Team
		31. Consider revision of LCC protocol to ensure staff are clear about their responsibility to ensure that IAS data remains up to date	IAS Team
		32. CCGs to address data quality and responsiveness from their Commissioning Support Unit	CCGs
		<ol> <li>Quality assurance checks to be undertaken to ensure final dataset is robust</li> </ol>	Business Intelligence / CCG CSU
		34. Sign-off of data used for key decision making by LCC/CCG; ensuring ownership of associated financial risks	Chief Financial Officer/SRO/ PMO



### 7) Direct Payments & Personal Health Budgets

Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	SRO / Team
17.	Direct Payment /Personal Health Budget demand was much higher than anticipated and a high level of requests were received close to the Go Live date	35. A strategy for the management of Direct Payment requests in future projects needs to anticipate a similar picture and plan appropriately for this	ASC DMT
	The setting of a cut-off date caused some concern to people who wanted to make requests for a direct payment	36. Set a cut-off date further in advance to preserve data for procurement and transitions phases to reduce risks	Programme Board
		37. Health to review similar options for Personal Health Budgets	CCG Exec Team
18.	Directorate and organisational project/programme co- ordination: there were overlaps between the HTLAH Programme and the A&C Direct Payment Project	38. Improve co-ordination/planning across programmes/projects	Corporate Transformation Unit / PMO

30



### 8) Provider Mobilisation

Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	SRO / Team
19.	<ul> <li>Improve assurance and risk appraisal of provider mobilisation plans; especially relating to the Transfer of Undertakings of Protection of Employment Regulations 2006 (TUPE) and recruitment. Assumptions were made based on providers' historic TUPE experience which did not prove to be sufficiently accurate</li> </ul>	39. Rigorously test, risk assess and challenge providers' business continuity and mobilisation plans throughout the transition and implementation phase	Business Continuity / Compliance
20.	Response/understanding of the levels of escalating risk during mobilisation period	40. Define management information and indicators needed to monitor provider progress and risk to delivery	Programme Board / PMO
21.	Improved delivery assurance of new providers may have highlight some problems earlier	41. Assign dedicated operational resource to each new provider to support their mobilisation and to provide programme assurance; to develop provider relationships and provide opportunity to highlight risks and issues.	Compliance



### 9) Resources/Tools/Processes

Ref	Key Learning	<b>Recommendations/ Actions</b>	SRO / Team
22.	LCC developed, led and resourced a strong R Management Office (PMO), this would be fur enhanced by identifying and securing require resources earlier in the programme lifecycle a embedding health resources	ther review as programme expands d 43. Assign dedicated Project Managers/Business	Programme Board/ SRO
23.	Greater operational SME involvement from sea and health essential at each programme stage		PMO / Programme Board
24.	Availability and commitment of key working g resources	roup 45. Prioritise involvement in staff work plans	LCC / CCG
25.	CCG provider trusts (University Hospitals Lei Leicestershire Partnership Trust)needed to b in key programme meetings at an earlier stag	e included representation from all partner organisations in	PMO / CCG
26.	Commissioner understanding of awareness a requirements for front line staff in partner orga could have been greater	•	Programme Board /PMO
27.	Resource capacity for existing provider mana programme experienced episodes of non-cor which required additional management		ASC DMT/CCGs

Trish McHugh – Programme Manager HTLAH Issued 08/06/17



### Help to Live at Home Integrated Programme

### Key Learning and Recommendations

Ref	Key Learning	<b>Recommendations/ Actions</b>	SRO / Team
28.	A higher than anticipated level of resource was required for the Stabilisation period	49. Continuation of workstreams during the stabilisation period	Programme Board/PMO



# Help to Live at Home Integrated Programme

# Key Learning and Recommendations

10)	Communications/Engagement
-----	---------------------------

Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	SRO / Team
29.	Good internal programme communications i.e. stakeholder briefings	50. Replicate approach for other programmes	РМО
30.	Service user engagement /communication and engagement plan	51. Co-production of communication and engagement plan with partners	LCC / CCG / CCG CSU comms teams
		52. Use reading panel for service user communications	Healthwatch
31.	• HTLAH service user help desk was run by the PMO and was separate from the Customer Service Centre (CSC), meaning that there was no single point of contact	53. Locate service user help desk in the Customer Service Centre	PMO / CSC
32.	A more effective Contingency communications plan was needed	54. Ensure contingency communications plan is developed as part of contingency workstream	Contingency workstream /PMO



#### 11) Procurement

Ref	Key Learning	Recommendations/ Actions	SRO / Team
33.	Positive market engagement took place	55. Share market engagement approach	Market Development / PMO
34.	<ul> <li>The Scrutiny review panel informed the model for procurement; good dialogue and insights from the scrutiny panel were reflected in programme's work</li> </ul>	56. Share learning and benefits of working with a scrutiny review panel	Programme Board
35.	<ul> <li>Level of understanding of changes to operational processes and systems needed to be more widely shared prior to procurement</li> </ul>	57. Inclusion of operational SMEs in workstreams and completion of feasibility testing of new processes to inform published procurement documentation	PMO

#### Next Steps

- 1. 9 May 2017 Present the report to the HTLAH Stabilisation Board for approval
- 2. 24 May 2017 Report presented to the Departmental Transformation Delivery Board for approval
- 3. **1 June 2017** Report presented to the Transformation Delivery Board for approval and to agree that the final report will be signed off by the Director of Adults and Communities

Trish McHugh – Programme Manager HTLAH Issued 08/06/17



- 4. 12 June 2017 Feedback on the report to the CCG Executive Teams (UHL & LPT) for information
- 5. 20 June 2017 Present the report to LCC Adults and Scrutiny Committee for information
- 6. July 2017 Present the report to the CCG Boards for information (CCG Strategic Leads to confirm)

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The HTLAH Stabilisation Board is requested to:

RECEIVE	The key learning and recommendations report for comment
APPROVE	The report findings and agree to the onward circulation of the report as set out under next steps
APPROVE	The proposal from the HTLAH Programme Manager to develop a joint action plan from the report recommendations with target dates for completion (reference should be made to the detailed lessons learned reports and operational teams feedback to inform the action plan)
APPROVE	The proposal from the HTLAH Programme Manager to plan a review of the action plan as part of the Post Implementation Review (or earlier)